

## Digital Learning 5.0: Leveraging Adaptive, Immersive, and Inclusive Technologies to Overcome Educational Inequity

Duwi Purnama Sidik <sup>1</sup>, Fitri Irawijayanti <sup>2</sup>, Abdullah Baihaqi <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Universitas Gajah Mada, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup> Universitas Negeri Makassar, Indonesia

---

### Article Info

#### Article History:

Received April 16, 2025

Revised May 26, 2025

Accepted June 30, 2025

#### Keywords:

Digital Learning 5.0;  
Adaptive Learning;  
Immersive Technology;  
Inclusive Education;  
Educational Equity.

---

### Abstract

Pembelajaran Digital 5.0, yang menekankan adaptabilitas, imersi, dan inklusivitas, menawarkan pendekatan yang menjanjikan untuk mengurangi ketimpangan pendidikan. Studi ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji bagaimana teknologi-teknologi ini dikonseptualisasikan dan diimplementasikan untuk meningkatkan kesempatan belajar yang setara. Studi kasus kualitatif dilakukan melalui tinjauan pustaka integratif, menganalisis artikel peer-review, prosiding konferensi, dan laporan resmi dari basis data terkemuka. Data disintesis untuk mengidentifikasi strategi, kerangka kerja, dan hasil utama Pembelajaran Digital 5.0 di berbagai konteks pendidikan. Temuan penelitian menunjukkan bahwa menggabungkan platform pembelajaran adaptif dengan fitur imersif dan inklusif menciptakan ekosistem pembelajaran yang menarik, personal, dan aksesibel. Pendekatan ini meningkatkan motivasi pembelajar, retensi pengetahuan, kinerja akademik, dan akses yang setara bagi siswa, termasuk mereka yang berkebutuhan khusus dan berasal dari latar belakang yang kurang terwakili. Studi ini menggarisbawahi pentingnya investasi strategis, pengembangan profesional, dan desain inklusif dalam pendidikan, yang memberikan implikasi praktis dan kebijakan untuk penerapan Pembelajaran Digital 5.0 guna mencapai hasil belajar yang berkualitas tinggi dan setara.

Digital Learning 5.0, emphasizing adaptability, immersion, and inclusivity, offers a promising approach to reducing educational inequities. This study aims to examine how these technologies are conceptualized and implemented to enhance equitable learning opportunities. A qualitative case study was conducted through an integrative literature review, analyzing peer-reviewed articles, conference proceedings, and authoritative reports from major databases. Data were synthesized to identify key strategies, frameworks, and outcomes of Digital Learning 5.0 across diverse educational contexts. Findings reveal that combining adaptive learning platforms with immersive and inclusive features creates an engaging, personalized, and accessible learning ecosystem. This approach improves learner motivation, knowledge retention, academic performance, and equitable access for students, including those with disabilities and from underrepresented backgrounds. The study underscores the importance of strategic investment, professional development, and inclusive design in education, providing practical and policy implications for implementing Digital Learning 5.0 to achieve high-quality and equitable learning outcomes.

This is an open access article under the [CC-BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license



---

\*e-mail Corresponding Author: [duwi763@gmail.com](mailto:duwi763@gmail.com)

---

#### How to Cite:

Sidik, D.P., Irawijayanti, F., & Baihaqi, A. (2025). Digital learning 5.0: leveraging adaptive, immersive, and inclusive technologies to overcome educational inequity. *JINEA: Journal of Innovation in Education and Learning*, 1(2), 75-92.

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Digital learning, defined as the integration of information and communication technologies (ICT) into educational practices, has become a fundamental pillar of contemporary education (Z. Huang et al., 2023; Windram et al., 2023). The rapid technological advancements in recent decades have profoundly transformed the delivery and experience of education. From the initial incorporation of computers into classrooms to the widespread use of online learning platforms, digital learning has evolved to become an essential component of the educational landscape (Alainati et al., 2023; Salikhova et al., 2020). This transformation extends beyond merely replacing traditional teaching methods; it aims to enhance the educational experience by making it more accessible, engaging, and personalized (De Vega & Rahayu, 2023; Sharma & Priyamvada, 2022). The COVID-19 pandemic further underscored the critical role of digital learning by accelerating the transition from face-to-face to remote instruction, highlighting the potential of digital technologies to sustain learning continuity amidst unprecedented disruptions (Montero Guerra et al., 2023; Pozo et al., 2021).

Emerging from Japan's concept of Society 5.0, a "*super-smart society*" integrating cyberspace and physical space to address complex societal challenges (Gobinath, 2024; Harahap et al., 2023; Subandowo, 2022), education 5.0 emphasizes a learner-centered approach leveraging advanced technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI), Virtual Reality (VR), and the Internet of Things (IoT). Central to Education 5.0 is the principle of digital inclusion, which seeks equitable access to technology, digital literacy, and inclusive learning content for all students, regardless of socioeconomic status (Mavlutova et al., 2020; Supa'at & Ihsan, 2023). This approach aims to bridge the persistent digital divide and foster an educational environment where every learner has the opportunity to thrive. Nonetheless, significant challenges remain, including inadequate infrastructure, insufficient teacher training, and disparities between urban and rural areas. Moreover, the design of educational technologies must prioritize inclusivity to avoid exacerbating existing inequalities. Consequently, there is a pressing need to develop a comprehensive model of digital learning 5.0 that integrates adaptive, immersive, and inclusive technologies to effectively address educational inequities, especially within the Indonesian context (Hargitai & Bencsik, 2023; Kaliraj et al., 2024).

The evolution of digital learning can be traced back to the mid-20th century with the introduction of Computer-Assisted Instruction (CAI), initially focused on drill-and-practice exercises. The 1990s witnessed the emergence of Learning Management Systems (LMS) such as Blackboard and Moodle, providing structured environments for content delivery and student progress management (Bervell & Arkorful, 2020). The advent of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) in the early 2000s further democratized education by offering free access to courses globally (Basantia & Kumar, 2022). More recently, instructional methodologies such as blended learning and flipped classrooms have integrated online and face-to-face interactions to improve student engagement and learning outcomes (Muxtorjonovna, 2020; Sihabudin, 2021). Parallel to these developments, digital literacy has gained recognition as an essential skill, equipping learners to critically navigate and evaluate information in an increasingly complex digital ecosystem (Supriyadi, 2022; Ylipulli et al., 2023). Despite these advances, the persistent challenges of digital inequity, infrastructural

deficits, and inadequate educator preparedness continue to limit the equitable implementation of digital learning innovations. Addressing these obstacles necessitates targeted investments, inclusive policy frameworks, and ongoing professional development to ensure that all learners benefit from technological advancements (D. Kim & Ryoo, 2023; Kugler & Kárpáti, 2023).

Digital learning possesses substantial potential to improve educational outcomes; however, a significant gap exists between its theoretical advantages and the lived realities of students, educators, and institutions (Hargitai & Bencsik, 2023; Subramanian, 2022). Inadequate infrastructure, limited access to devices and internet connectivity, and insufficient teacher training constitute primary barriers. For instance, regional disparities are evident in Madhya Pradesh, where only 0.9% of government schools have digital libraries, substantially below the national average of 6.1%. Furthermore, students in rural areas frequently lack reliable internet access, exacerbating existing educational disadvantages. Effective mitigation of these challenges requires a multifaceted strategy encompassing inclusive policies, infrastructural investment, and sustained capacity building for educators (Monreal & Panoy, 2023; Upadhyaya, 2023). Digital inclusion is fundamental to this strategy, striving to close the digital divide by ensuring equitable access to technological tools, digital literacy education, and inclusive content for all learners (Tajudeen et al., 2022; Wahyu Pratama Putra et al., 2023). By prioritizing these areas, stakeholders can foster inclusive, equitable, and effective learning environments that maximize the benefits of digital education.

Technologies such as online courses, virtual classrooms, and educational software have revolutionized education by enhancing accessibility and flexibility (Alamsyah et al., 2022; Windram et al., 2023). These tools enable personalized learning experiences that allow students to progress at their own pace with resources tailored to their individual needs. Innovative pedagogical models, including flipped classrooms and blended learning, utilize technology to improve engagement and learning outcomes (Zhang & Zhao, 2020). The Universal Design for Learning (UDL) framework further advances educational accessibility by accommodating diverse learning styles and abilities through flexible content delivery, engagement strategies, and assessment methods (Murtiyasa et al., 2020). Implementing UDL principles promotes inclusive learning environments where all students, regardless of background or ability, can succeed in the digital era (Edyburn, 2021; Kelly et al., 2022).

The increasing emphasis on digital literacy as a core competency reflects the evolving demands of the modern educational landscape. Educators are tasked with equipping students with skills to critically evaluate information, collaborate in digital spaces, and create digital content (Espada-Chavarria et al., 2023; Hizam-Hanafiah & Soomro, 2021). Integrating technology into education not only enhances teaching and learning but also prepares learners for workforce demands where digital competencies are indispensable (Cervera & Caena, 2022; Indah et al., 2022).

Immersive technologies such as VR and AR provide novel opportunities to deepen student engagement and understanding (Chandler et al., 2022; Cho & Park, 2023). VR enables exploration of complex subjects within interactive, experiential environments, while AR enhances traditional learning materials by overlaying digital information onto real-world contexts (K. G. Kim et al., 2020; Park et al., 2022; Pellas et al., 2020). The increasing

affordability and availability of VR and AR hardware, alongside tailored educational content, are expected to drive their growing adoption in educational settings. Inclusive technologies, including assistive devices and software, are critical for accommodating learners with disabilities by providing alternative means of access and engagement (Evers et al., 2023; Paulsen et al., 2024). Integrating these technologies fosters equitable educational opportunities and promotes inclusive learning environments.

Adaptive technologies utilize AI-driven systems to personalize learning based on individual student data, dynamically adjusting content and pacing to meet diverse learner needs. Immersive technologies facilitate experiential learning through interactive environments, while inclusive technologies ensure equitable access for all students, including those with disabilities (Ahmad et al., 2023; Safira et al., 2021). Despite their transformative potential, challenges such as infrastructure gaps, insufficient teacher preparation, and urban-rural digital divides hinder effective implementation. Addressing these barriers requires comprehensive policy development, infrastructure investment, and ongoing professional development (García-Martínez et al., 2020; Jamiludin & Darnawati, 2022).

This study aims to elucidate the concept of Digital Learning 5.0, which integrates adaptive, immersive, and inclusive technologies to innovatively tackle educational inequities. Through this research, the goal is to contribute to the advancement of equitable, engaging, and effective educational practices that leverage digital technologies in the context of evolving societal demands.

This study seeks to systematically explore and conceptualize the framework of Digital Learning 5.0 as an integrative model that encompasses adaptive, immersive, and inclusive technologies to enhance educational equity and quality (Alainati et al., 2023; Windram et al., 2023). Specifically, the research aims to:

- a) Identify the core components and characteristics of Digital Learning 5.0 within the context of current technological advancements and educational needs.
- b) Analyze the challenges and barriers impeding the effective implementation of digital learning technologies, with particular emphasis on infrastructure, teacher readiness, and access disparities.
- c) Examine strategies and best practices for integrating adaptive learning systems, virtual and augmented reality applications, and assistive technologies to create inclusive and personalized learning environments.
- d) Develop recommendations for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders to foster digital inclusion and optimize the deployment of Digital Learning 5.0, especially in developing country contexts such as Indonesia.

The evolution of digital learning presents both opportunities and challenges. While technological innovations promise to revolutionize education by making it more accessible and tailored to diverse learner needs, the uneven distribution of resources and skills threatens to exacerbate existing inequities. This research contributes to the scholarly discourse by offering a comprehensive model that synthesizes emerging technologies under the conceptual umbrella of Digital Learning 5.0, grounded in the principles of inclusivity and learner-centeredness.

By focusing on the interplay of adaptive, immersive, and inclusive technologies, the study provides a nuanced understanding of how these elements can collectively address barriers to quality education. Furthermore, by situating the analysis within the specific socio-economic and infrastructural realities of Indonesia, the research offers contextually relevant insights and practical guidance for advancing national educational agendas aligned with global trends. Ultimately, this study aims to serve as a foundational reference for future empirical research, policy formulation, and pedagogical innovation, contributing to the realization of equitable and effective digital education systems in the era of Society 5.0.

## 2. METHOD

This study uses a qualitative case study approach through an extensive narrative literature review to understand how Digital Learning 5.0, characterized by adaptability, immersion, and inclusivity, is conceptualized and applied to address educational inequities. Unlike systematic reviews, this integrative and interpretive approach synthesizes theoretical frameworks, empirical evidence, and practical implementations of advanced digital learning technologies (Timotheou et al., 2023). The case study design allows for in-depth, contextual analysis by examining examples, models, and concepts from the literature that illustrate the principles and impacts of Digital Learning 5.0 across diverse educational settings.

Data were purposively gathered through an iterative literature search across major academic databases, including Scopus, ERIC, and Google Scholar, using keywords such as “*digital learning 5.0*”, “*adaptive learning*”, “*immersive education*”, “*inclusive technology*”, and “*educational equity*”. Sources comprising peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, authoritative reports, and academic monographs published within the past decade were carefully reviewed to extract key themes, strategies, implementation frameworks, and outcomes relevant to the study. Selection criteria emphasized relevance to the research questions, conceptual clarity, methodological rigor, and significant contributions to understanding Digital Learning 5.0’s role in promoting educational equity. Special focus was placed on literature addressing:

- a) Adaptive learning technologies and their customization capabilities;
- b) Immersive learning environments utilizing virtual and augmented reality;
- c) Inclusive digital learning practices aimed at meeting diverse learner needs and mitigating educational disparities.

Data analysis used thematic content analysis to identify recurring patterns, challenges, and success factors related to Digital Learning 5.0 technologies. The focus included theoretical foundations of adaptive, immersive, and inclusive learning; empirical case studies from diverse settings; barriers and enablers in technology adoption; and implications for policy, pedagogy, and research. This process synthesized diverse perspectives to highlight Digital Learning 5.0’s potential for equitable education. Credibility was ensured through methodological triangulation, peer debriefing, and expert consultations, while an iterative literature review with clear criteria minimized bias. The analysis was guided by a conceptual framework integrating Digital Learning 5.0’s core dimensions: adaptability, immersion, and inclusivity, as a lens to categorize and interpret literature, examining how each dimension uniquely and interactively addresses educational inequities.

Key constructs include adaptive learning (personalizing instruction based on learner profiles and progress), immersive learning (using virtual, augmented, and mixed reality to create engaging multisensory environments), and inclusive learning (addressing diverse needs such as disabilities, socio-economic challenges, and linguistic differences). This framework systematically explores how these dimensions operate within educational contexts to collectively promote equitable learning experiences and outcomes.

### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The narrative literature review yielded a comprehensive understanding of how Digital Learning 5.0, through its core dimensions of adaptability, immersion, and inclusivity, has been conceptualized and operationalized to address educational inequities across various contexts.

#### **Adaptive Learning Technologies**

The analysis identified that adaptive learning systems play a crucial role in personalizing educational experiences to meet individual learner needs, thus directly addressing inequities related to diverse learning paces, styles, and prior knowledge (Joshi, 2023; Wartiningih & Surjono, 2020). Adaptive learning technologies with a focus on three main aspects: learner personalization and profiling, progress monitoring and flexibility, and implementation challenges (Alzain et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2022).

##### **a) Personalization and learner profiling**

Multiple studies demonstrated that adaptive platforms use real-time data analytics and artificial intelligence algorithms to customize content, scaffolding, and feedback based on learners' performance, preferences, and cognitive profiles. This personalization supports learners who may otherwise be disadvantaged by uniform instruction methods. Adaptive learning technologies represent a transformative advancement in education, leveraging artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms to create personalized learning experiences (Doroudi, 2023; Joshi, 2023). Learner personalization and profiling form the cornerstone of these technologies, as they collect and analyze detailed data on individual students, including cognitive abilities, learning styles, prior knowledge, and performance history. By constructing comprehensive learner profiles, adaptive systems can deliver tailored content, recommend optimal learning pathways, and adjust instructional strategies to suit each student's unique needs (J. Huang et al., 2021; Sanusi et al., 2022). Studies have shown that platforms such as Yixue Squirrel AI can enhance learning outcomes in subjects like mathematics and English by providing targeted interventions based on precise learner profiling. Adaptive learning technologies leverage artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms to tailor educational content to individual learner profiles, enhancing engagement and learning outcomes (Afrita, 2023; Aggarwal, 2023; Akinwalere & Ivanov, 2022). These systems dynamically adjust instructional materials based on real-time assessments of a learner's performance, preferences, and cognitive patterns. For instance, AI-driven platforms like "Yixue" have demonstrated superior performance in subjects such as English and mathematics, outperforming traditional classroom instruction and other adaptive platforms in terms of student engagement and

achievement. By continuously analyzing learner interactions and outcomes, adaptive learning platforms create personalized learning pathways that cater to each student's unique needs. This approach not only accommodates different learning styles and paces but also fosters a more engaging and rewarding learning experience, leading to increased confidence, improved retention of information, and better overall academic performance.

**b) Progress Monitoring and Flexibility**

Adaptive systems enable continuous assessment and adjustment of learning pathways, allowing learners to advance at their own pace and revisit concepts as needed, reducing dropout rates and improving retention among marginalized student populations. Progress monitoring and flexibility constitute the second critical aspect of adaptive learning (Gong, 2021). These technologies continuously track student performance in real time, allowing educators and the system itself to identify knowledge gaps and misconceptions immediately (Aggarwal, 2023). The dynamic nature of adaptive learning enables students to progress at their own pace, revisit concepts as needed, and receive timely feedback, thereby fostering mastery before advancing to more complex topics. This level of responsiveness not only enhances learning efficiency but also increases student engagement and motivation (Akinwalere & Ivanov, 2022). A critical component of adaptive learning systems is their ability to monitor learner progress in real-time, providing immediate feedback and facilitating timely interventions (Sanusi et al., 2022). Advanced analytics enable educators to track various metrics, including response accuracy, time taken to complete tasks, and patterns of mistakes, allowing for the adjustment of learning pathways to meet individual needs. This flexibility ensures that learners receive support tailored to their current level of understanding, promoting mastery of concepts before advancing to more complex material. Moreover, adaptive learning technologies enhance student engagement by offering personalized learning paths, real-time feedback, and flexible pacing. These features help maintain motivation and prevent frustration by presenting challenges that are appropriately matched to each learner's abilities.

**c) Challenges**

Despite evident benefits, barriers such as technological infrastructure gaps, lack of educator training, and concerns over data privacy were recurrent themes limiting widespread adoption, particularly in low-resource settings. Despite these advantages, implementation challenges remain a significant barrier to widespread adoption. The complexity of AI-driven adaptive learning systems requires robust technological infrastructure and professional development for educators to effectively integrate these tools into traditional curriculum (Doroudi, 2023; Sanusi et al., 2022). Moreover, concerns related to data privacy, ethical use, and potential algorithmic bias must be carefully managed to ensure equitable educational outcomes. Addressing these challenges is essential for realizing the full potential of adaptive learning technologies and requires ongoing research, policy support, and collaboration among stakeholders (Afrita, 2023). Despite their potential, the implementation of adaptive learning technologies presents several challenges. The complexity of AI algorithms necessitates robust technological infrastructure and specialized educator training to

effectively integrate these systems into existing educational frameworks. Additionally, concerns regarding data privacy and algorithmic biases require careful consideration to ensure equitable outcomes for all learners (Aggarwal, 2023). Addressing these challenges is crucial for the successful adoption of adaptive learning technologies. Educational institutions must invest in professional development for educators, ensure compliance with data protection regulations, and continuously evaluate and refine adaptive learning systems to mitigate potential drawbacks and enhance their effectiveness.

In conclusion, adaptive learning technologies offer substantial benefits through personalized learning, continuous progress monitoring, and flexibility in instruction. However, successful implementation demands careful attention to technical, pedagogical, and ethical considerations. When these aspects are effectively managed, adaptive learning can provide equitable, efficient, and high-quality educational experiences for diverse student populations.

### **Immersive Learning Environments**

Immersive technologies, including virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and mixed reality (MR), emerged as powerful tools for creating engaging multisensory experiences that foster deeper understanding and motivation. Immersive learning environments, focusing on three key aspects: engagement and motivation, cognitive and affective gains, and accessibility and usability (Chandler et al., 2022; Cho & Park, 2023).

#### **a) Engagement and Motivation**

Studies highlighted that immersive environments increase learner engagement by simulating real-world contexts and enabling experiential learning, which is particularly beneficial for learners with limited access to physical resources or experiential opportunities (Chandler et al., 2022). Immersive learning environments, including virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR), have been shown to enhance cognitive, behavioral, and affective engagement by fostering active participation and emotional connection to learning materials (Cho & Park, 2023). Systems such as the HoloBoard increase student engagement through immersive visual displays and interactive features, which translate into improved learning outcomes. CAMIL identifies six key factors: interest, motivation, self-efficacy, embodiment, cognitive load, and self-regulation, that mediate learning outcomes in immersive virtual reality (IVR), emphasizing the importance of designing experiences that sustain learner motivation. Adaptive guidance in AR environments further enhances engagement, with adaptive-association guidance particularly improving recall and efficiency (Park et al., 2022; Pellas et al., 2020). Overall, immersive simulations create real-world contexts that enable experiential learning, which is especially beneficial for learners who have limited access to physical resources or direct experiential opportunities.

#### **b) Cognitive and Affective Gains**

Immersive learning facilitates complex concept comprehension, spatial reasoning, and collaborative problem-solving, contributing to narrowing achievement gaps among learners from diverse backgrounds. Immersive environments facilitate

deeper cognitive and affective learning by supporting comprehension of complex concepts, spatial reasoning, and collaborative problem-solving (K. G. Kim et al., 2020; Lowell & Yan, 2024). Nature-based VR environments, for example, have been shown to improve mood and cognitive engagement, even among older adults or individuals with physical disabilities (Yang & Liu, 2022). AR learning games positively impact cognitive, affective, and retention outcomes, reinforcing knowledge while maintaining emotional involvement. However, IVR environments can also induce significant cognitive and emotional interference if not carefully designed, highlighting the necessity of balancing immersion with cognitive load management (Lowell & Yan, 2024). Multimodal learning analytics indicate that while cognitive engagement is well-documented, further research is needed on affective and metacognitive dimensions to fully understand their contribution in immersive learning contexts.

c) Accessibility and Usability

However, inclusivity challenges were noted, including the need for accessible hardware, potential motion sickness, and design considerations to accommodate learners with disabilities. Despite the clear benefits, immersive learning environments face accessibility and usability challenges that must be addressed to ensure inclusivity. Hardware requirements, potential motion sickness, and design limitations may hinder learners with disabilities or those with limited technological access (Mathur et al., 2023). AR and VR platforms must therefore consider universal design principles and provide adaptive features to accommodate diverse learner needs. By addressing these challenges, immersive learning technologies can be more widely implemented to create engaging and effective educational experiences for all learners.

## **Inclusive Digital Learning Practices**

Inclusivity in Digital Learning 5.0 extends beyond accessibility to encompass socio-cultural, linguistic, and economic diversity. Table 3 shows findings from various literature on inclusive digital learning practices, focusing on three key aspects: design for diversity, bridging the digital divide, and support structures (Espada-Chavarria et al., 2023; Mansur et al., 2023).

a) Design for Diversity

Effective digital learning initiatives incorporate universal design principles, multilingual interfaces, and culturally relevant content to cater to heterogeneous learner populations. Inclusive digital learning emphasizes the importance of designing tools and platforms that are flexible, personalized, and adaptable to diverse student needs (Espada-Chavarria et al., 2023). Implementing universal design principles, multilingual interfaces, and culturally relevant content ensures that heterogeneous learner populations can engage meaningfully with learning materials (Asenova et al., 2023; Mansur et al., 2023). Accessible and inclusive design particularly supports students with disabilities by removing barriers to participation and fostering equitable educational experiences (Muñoz-Arteaga et al., 2023). Additionally, interactive initiatives, such as digital arts festivals involving individuals with learning disabilities, demonstrate that thoughtfully designed platforms can simultaneously promote skill development, social engagement, and digital literacy.

b) Bridging the Digital Divide

Several programs implemented mobile-based solutions and low-bandwidth platforms to reach underserved communities, mitigating socio-economic disparities in digital access. Addressing digital exclusion requires targeted strategies to improve access to technology and the internet, particularly in underserved communities (Mansur et al., 2023). Establishing digital inclusion centers that provide computers, internet connectivity, and structured educational programs has been shown to significantly enhance digital access and learning opportunities (Espada-Chavarria et al., 2023). Mobile-based solutions and low-bandwidth platforms further extend reach to socio-economically disadvantaged learners, helping to mitigate disparities in digital participation (Hashim et al., 2022). Furthermore, inclusive digital platforms enable individuals with intellectual disabilities to maintain social connections and participate actively in online communities, highlighting the broader societal benefits of bridging the digital divide.

c) Support Structures

Integrating social-emotional learning and community engagement components proved essential to sustain participation and foster inclusive educational ecosystems. Sustainable digital inclusion depends on integrated support structures that reinforce learning engagement and social-emotional well-being (Hanisch & Eirdosh, 2023; Savelyeva & Park, 2022). Programs that embed social-emotional learning components, mentorship, and community engagement initiatives have proven essential in maintaining participation and fostering inclusive educational ecosystems. Holistic approaches that combine technical access, pedagogical support, and community involvement create resilient learning environments where all students, regardless of ability or background, can thrive (Toader et al., 2021).

### **Synergistic Impact of Core Dimensions in Digital Learning 5.0**

The integration of adaptive learning platforms with immersive technologies fosters a powerful synergy that enhances learning experiences across multiple dimensions. Adaptive systems personalize instructional content, pacing, and feedback based on individual learners' abilities, preferences, and prior knowledge (Christodoulou & Angeli, 2022; Joshi, 2023). When combined with immersive environments such as virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and mixed reality (MR), learners engage in multi-sensory, interactive experiences that deepen engagement, elevate motivation, and improve retention (Aggarwal, 2023; Mirata & Bergamin, 2023). This combination ensures that learning is not only personalized but also stimulating, as students can explore complex concepts in context-rich scenarios that mirror real-world applications.

The impact of this synergy is further strengthened when inclusive design principles are applied. Accessibility features, culturally relevant content, multilingual interfaces, and adjustable difficulty levels ensure that diverse learner populations, including students with disabilities and those from underrepresented backgrounds, can fully participate in digital learning environments (García-Martínez et al., 2020; Jamiludin & Darnawati, 2022). Inclusive immersive platforms bridge gaps in engagement and opportunity, fostering equity while maintaining high levels of cognitive and affective involvement (Liaw et al., 2021).

Empirical evidence from case studies demonstrates the tangible benefits of integrating these dimensions. digital learning 5.0 applications, characterized by adaptive personalization, immersive interaction, and inclusive accessibility, have been shown to improve academic outcomes, enhance learner satisfaction, and increase equitable access across demographic groups (Dickinson & Gronseth, 2020; Espada-Chavarria et al., 2023). For instance, students using immersive adaptive platforms reported higher motivation and confidence in tackling complex tasks, while institutions observed measurable improvements in course completion rates and knowledge retention. These studies highlight that the synergistic effect of personalization, immersion, and inclusivity is greater than the sum of individual components, creating a holistic learning ecosystem that supports diverse learners while optimizing educational outcomes.

The synergistic potential of adaptive, immersive, and inclusive technologies underscores the necessity of strategic policy interventions. Investment in robust digital infrastructure, inclusive technology standards, and professional development frameworks is essential to scale these innovations effectively. Policymakers must ensure that equitable access to adaptive and immersive tools is available for all learners, mitigating socio-economic and geographic disparities (Espada-Chavarria et al., 2023; Mansur et al., 2023).

Educators must be equipped to harness the combined potential of personalization, immersion, and inclusivity in their instructional practices. Professional development programs should focus on the effective integration of adaptive platforms and immersive technologies into curricula, while also addressing diverse learner needs. Teachers' ability to deliver tailored feedback and facilitate engaging, inclusive experiences is critical to translating technological affordances into tangible learning outcomes (Jamiludin & Darnawati, 2022; Lau, 2023).

The interplay of core dimensions highlights areas for further empirical exploration. longitudinal studies are needed to assess the sustained impact of integrated adaptive and immersive platforms, particularly in low-resource or underrepresented contexts. emerging technologies, such as ai-driven inclusivity features, should be investigated for their capacity to enhance personalization, accessibility, and learner engagement. such research will inform the design, implementation, and evaluation of digital learning 5.0 initiatives to maximize their synergistic impact on education.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The integration of adaptive learning platforms with immersive technologies, designed with inclusivity in mind, creates a synergistic educational ecosystem that enhances learner engagement, motivation, and knowledge retention. Multi-sensory, interactive experiences, combined with personalized content and accessible features, ensure that diverse learners, including those with disabilities and from underrepresented backgrounds, can fully participate, fostering both equity and high-quality learning outcomes. empirical evidence demonstrates that digital learning 5.0 applications leveraging these dimensions improve academic performance, learner satisfaction, and equitable access across demographic groups.

These findings carry significant implications for policy, pedagogy, and research. Strategic investment in digital infrastructure, inclusive standards, and professional

development is essential to scale these innovations effectively. Educators must be prepared to integrate adaptive and immersive technologies into curricula while addressing diverse learner needs. Future studies should examine long-term impacts and explore emerging technologies, such as AI-driven inclusivity tools, to optimize the synergistic potential of personalization, immersion, and inclusivity in digital learning environments.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was fully self-funded by the author, without financial support from any institution or external funding agency.

## DECLARATIONS

Author Contribution	: Author 1: conceptualization, methodology, data collection, data analysis, writing, original draft; Author 2: Literature review, visualization, and preparation of final manuscript; Author 3&4: Data analysis, validation, review.
Funding Statement	: The study was fully self-funded by the authors.
Conflict of Interest	: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- Afrita, J. (2023). Peran Artificial Intelligence dalam Meningkatkan Efisiensi dan Efektifitas Sistem Pendidikan. *COMSERVA: Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pengabdian Masyarakat*, 2(12). <https://doi.org/10.59141/comserva.v2i12.731>
- Aggarwal, D. (2023). Exploring the Scope of Artificial Intelligence (AI) for Lifelong Education through Personalised & Adaptive Learning. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning and Neural Network*, 41. <https://doi.org/10.55529/jaimlnn.41.21.26>
- Ahmad, S. T., Watrianthos, R., Samala, A. D., Muskhir, M., & Dogara, G. (2023). Project-based Learning in Vocational Education: A Bibliometric Approach. *International Journal of Modern Education and Computer Science*, 15(4). <https://doi.org/10.5815/ijmecs.2023.04.04>
- Akinwalere, S. N., & Ivanov, V. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: Challenges and Opportunities. *Border Crossing*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.33182/bc.v12i1.2015>
- Alainati, S., Al-Hunaiyyan, A., & Alkhatib, H. (2023). Instructors' Digital Competencies for Innovative Learning: Human Resource Management Perspectives. *International Journal of Professional Business Review*, 8(10). <https://doi.org/10.26668/businessreview/2023.v8i10.3750>
- Alamsyah, Burhamzah, M., Fatimah, S., & Asri, W. K. (2022). Peran guru dalam menghadapi era society 5.0: Apakah sebatas tantangan atau perubahan? *Maruki: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan Islam*, 1(1).

- Alzain, A. M., Clark, S., Jwaid, A., & Ireson, G. (2018). Adaptive education based on learning styles: Are learning style instruments precise enough? *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 13(9). <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v13i09.8554>
- Asenova, M., Del Zozzo, A., & Santi, G. (2023). Unfolding Teachers' Interpretative Knowledge into Semiotic Interpretative Knowledge to Understand and Improve Mathematical Learning in an Inclusive Perspective. *Education Sciences*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13010065>
- Basantia, T. K., & Kumar, V. (2022). Massive open online courses as alternatives to conventional education and existing distance education. *International Journal of Virtual and Personal Learning Environments*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJVPLE.306233>
- Bervell, B., & Arkorful, V. (2020). LMS-enabled blended learning utilization in distance tertiary education: establishing the relationships among facilitating conditions, voluntariness of use and use behaviour. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-020-0183-9>
- Cervera, M. G., & Caena, F. (2022). Teachers' digital competence for global teacher education. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 45(4), 451–455. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2022.2135855>
- Chandler, T., Richards, A. E., Jenny, B., Dickson, F., Huang, J., Klippel, A., Neylan, M., Wang, F., & Prober, S. M. (2022). Immersive landscapes: modelling ecosystem reference conditions in virtual reality. *Landscape Ecology*, 37(5). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-021-01313-8>
- Cho, Y., & Park, K. S. (2023). Designing Immersive Virtual Reality Simulation for Environmental Science Education. *Electronics (Switzerland)*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics12020315>
- Christodoulou, A., & Angelis, C. (2022). Adaptive Learning Techniques for a Personalized Educational Software in Developing Teachers' Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge. *Frontiers in Education*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.789397>
- De Vega, N., & Rahayu. (2023). Enhancing English Learning: Self-Determination in Indonesia Digital Classrooms. *Inspiring: English Education Journal*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.35905/inspiring.v6i2.6611>
- Dickinson, K. J., & Gronseth, S. L. (2020). Application of Universal Design for Learning (UDL) Principles to Surgical Education During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Surgical Education*, 77(5). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2020.06.005>
- Doroudi, S. (2023). The Intertwined Histories of Artificial Intelligence and Education. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 33(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-022-00313-2>
- Edyburn, D. L. (2021). Universal Usability and Universal Design for Learning. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 56(5). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053451220963082>
- Espada-Chavarria, R., González-Montesino, R. H., López-Bastías, J. L., & Díaz-Vega, M. (2023). Universal Design for Learning and Instruction: Effective Strategies for Inclusive Higher Education. *Education Sciences*, 13(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13060620>

- Evers, S., Dane, G. Z., van den Berg, P. E. W., Klippel, A. K. A. J., Verduijn, T., & Arentze, T. A. (2023). Designing healthy public spaces: A participatory approach through immersive virtual reality. *AGILE: GIScience Series*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.5194/agile-giss-4-24-2023>
- García-Martínez, J. A., Rosa-Napal, F. C., Romero-Tabeayo, I., López-Calvo, S., & Fuentes-Abledo, E. J. (2020). Digital tools and personal learning environments: An analysis in higher education. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(19). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12198180>
- Gobinath, T. (2024). Society 5.0 The Next Revolution in Human Progress. *Shanlax International Journal of Arts, Science and Humanities*, 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.34293/sijash.v11i3.6898>
- Gong, Y. (2021). Application of virtual reality teaching method and artificial intelligence technology in digital media art creation. *Ecological Informatics*, 63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2021.101304>
- Hanisch, S., & Eirdosh, D. (2023). Behavioral Science and Education for Sustainable Development: Towards Metacognitive Competency. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(9). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097413>
- Harahap, N. J., Limbong, C. H., & Sinaga Simanjorang, E. F. (2023). The Education in Era Society 5.0. *Jurnal Eduscience*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.36987/jes.v10i1.3959>
- Hargitai, D. M., & Bencsik, A. (2023). The Role of Leadership in Digital Learning Organizations. *Emerging Science Journal*, 7(Special issue 2). <https://doi.org/10.28991/ESJ-2023-SIED2-09>
- Hashim, N. C., Majid, N. A. A., Arshad, H., Hashim, H., & Abdi Alkareem Alyasser, Z. (2022). Mobile Augmented Reality Based on Multimodal Inputs for Experiential Learning. *IEEE Access*, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3193498>
- Hizam-Hanafiah, M., & Soomro, M. A. (2021). The situation of technology companies in industry 4.0 and the open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc7010034>
- Huang, J., Saleh, S., & Liu, Y. (2021). A review on artificial intelligence in education. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 10(3). <https://doi.org/10.36941/AJIS-2021-0077>
- Huang, Z., Fey, M., Liu, C., Beysel, E., Xu, X., & Brecher, C. (2023). Hybrid learning-based digital twin for manufacturing process: Modeling framework and implementation. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2023.102545>
- Indah, R. N., Toyyibah, Budhiningrum, A. S., & Afifi, N. (2022). The research competence, critical thinking skills and digital literacy of indonesian efl students. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.1302.11>
- Jamiludin, J., & Darnawati, D. (2022). E-learning on History learning: Aspect of material, teacher, learning environment, and student. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v11i2.22471>
- Joshi, M. (2023). Adaptive Learning through Artificial Intelligence. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4514887>

- Kaliraj, P., Singaravelu, G., & Devi, T. (2024). Transformative Digital Technology for Disruptive Teaching and Learning. In *Transformative Digital Technology for Disruptive Teaching and Learning*. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781032675176>
- Kelly, O., Buckley, K., Lieberman, L. J., & Arndt, K. (2022). Universal Design for Learning - A framework for inclusion in Outdoor Learning. *Journal of Outdoor and Environmental Education*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42322-022-00096-z>
- Kim, D., & Ryoo, D. (2023). Learning Techniques Using Study With Me: Focus on Motivational Orientations, Learning Competency, and Digital Literacy. *IEEE Access*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3312555>
- Kim, K. G., Oertel, C., Dobrucki, M., Olsen, J. K., Coppi, A. E., Cattaneo, A., & Dillenbourg, P. (2020). Using immersive virtual reality to support designing skills in vocational education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 51(6). <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13026>
- Kugler, E., & Kárpáti, A. (2023). Teaching Mathematics through art: Developing spatial skills and digital literacy of children with learning challenges through visual arts education. In *Arts-Based Interventions and Social Change in Europe*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003376927-6>
- Lau, K. W. (2023). Learning game innovations in immersive game environments: a factor analytic study of students' learning inventory in virtual reality. *Virtual Reality*, 27(3). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-023-00811-1>
- Liaw, S. Y., Choo, T., Wu, L. T., Lim, W. S., Choo, H., Lim, S. M., Ringsted, C., Wong, L. F., Ooi, S. L. W., Lau, T. C., Lee, U., Choi, H., Jeon, Y., Sweigart, L. I., Umoren, R. A., Scott, P. J., Carlton, K. H., Jones, J. A., Truman, B., ... Fetter, M. D. (2021). Creating contextual learning experiences via virtual simulation. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*, 21(3).
- Liu, H. L., Wang, T. H., Lin, H. C. K., Lai, C. F., & Huang, Y. M. (2022). The Influence of Affective Feedback Adaptive Learning System on Learning Engagement and Self-Directed Learning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.858411>
- Lowell, V. L., & Yan, W. (2024). Applying Systems Thinking for Designing Immersive Virtual Reality Learning Experiences in Education. *TechTrends*, 68(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-023-00922-1>
- Mansur, H., Utama, A. H., Mohd Yasin, M. H., Sari, N. P., Jamaludin, K. A., & Pinandhita, F. (2023). Development of Inclusive Education Learning Design in the Era of Society 5.0. *Social Sciences*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12010035>
- Mathur, J., Miller, S. R., Simpson, T. W., & Meissel, N. A. (2023). Designing immersive experiences in virtual reality for design for additive manufacturing training. *Additive Manufacturing*, 78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2023.103875>
- Mavlutova, I., Lesinskis, K., Liogys, M., & Hermanis, J. (2020). Innovative teaching techniques for entrepreneurship education in the era of digitalisation. *WSEAS Transactions on Environment and Development*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.37394/232015.2020.16.75>
- Mirata, V., & Bergamin, P. (2023). Role of organisational readiness and stakeholder acceptance: an implementation framework of adaptive learning for higher education.

- Educational Technology Research and Development*, 71(4).  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-023-10248-7>
- Monreal, J., & Panoy, J. F. (2023). Development and Evaluation of Design Thinking-based Learning Packets for Enhancing Innovation Skills. *International Journal of Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.53378/353005>
- Montero Guerra, J. M., Danvila-del-Valle, I., & Méndez Suárez, M. (2023). The impact of digital transformation on talent management. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.122291>
- Muñoz-Arteaga, J., López-Torres, G. C., & Muñoz-Zavala, Á. E. (2023). An Agile Learning Methodology to Support Inclusive Education. *Edutec*, 86. <https://doi.org/10.21556/edutec.2023.86.3013>
- Murtiyasa, B., Jannah, I. M., & Rejeki, S. (2020). Designing mathematics learning media based on mobile learning for ten graders of vocational high school. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(11). <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.081168>
- Muxtorjonovna, A. M. (2020). Significance Of Blended Learning In Education System. *The American Journal of Social Science and Education Innovations*, 02(08). <https://doi.org/10.37547/tajssei/volume02issue08-82>
- Park, H., Cooper, G., & Thong, L. P. (2022). Designing an Immersive Virtual Reality Classroom Exploring Behaviour Support Strategies. *Video Journal of Education and Pedagogy*, 35(11). <https://doi.org/10.1163/23644583-bja10030>
- Paulsen, L., Dau, S., & Davidsen, J. (2024). Designing for collaborative learning in immersive virtual reality: a systematic literature review. *Virtual Reality*, 28(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10055-024-00975-4>
- Pellas, N., Dengel, A., & Christopoulos, A. (2020). A Scoping Review of Immersive Virtual Reality in STEM Education. In *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies* (Vol. 13, Issue 4). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2020.3019405>
- Pozo, J. I., Pérez Echeverría, M. P., Cabellos, B., & Sánchez, D. L. (2021). Teaching and Learning in Times of COVID-19: Uses of Digital Technologies During School Lockdowns. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.656776>
- Safira, I., Wahid, A., Rahmadhanningsih, S., Suryadi, A., & Swandi, A. (2021). The Relationship between Students' Learning Motivation and Learning Outcomes through Guided Discovery Model Assisted Video and Interactive Simulation. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika*, 9(2).
- Salikhova, N. R., Lynch, M. F., & Salikhova, A. B. (2020). Psychological aspects of digital learning: A self-determination theory perspective. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/8584>
- Sanusi, I. T., Olaleye, S. A., Agbo, F. J., & Chiu, T. K. F. (2022). The role of learners' competencies in artificial intelligence education. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.caeari.2022.100098>
- Savelyeva, T., & Park, J. (2022). Blockchain technology for sustainable education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 53(6). <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13273>
- Sharma, H. L., & Priyamvada. (2022). Innovative Teaching Strategies To Foster Critical Thinking: a Review. *International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts*, 10(5).
- Sihabudin. (2021). Blended Learning Strategi Pembelajaran di Era Digital. In *Nucl. Phys.*

- Subandowo, M. (2022). Teknologi Pendidikan di Era Society 5.0. *Sagacious: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Dan Sosial*, 9(1).
- Subramanian, N. (2022). Tone of Cognition and Metacognition in Digital Learning Environments. In *Industry 4.0 Technologies for Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003318378-29>
- Supa'at, S., & Ihsan, I. (2023). The Challenges of Elementary Education in Society 5.0 Era. *International Journal of Social Learning (IJSL)*, 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.47134/ijsl.v3i3.214>
- Supriyadi, S. (2022). Hybrid Learning on Digital Literacy in The Implementation of Curriculum Merdeka. *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan MH Thamrin*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.37012/jipmht.v6i2.771>
- Tajudeen, F. P., Nadarajah, D., Jaafar, N. I., & Sulaiman, A. (2022). The impact of digitalisation vision and information technology on organisations' innovation. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 25(2). <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-10-2020-0423>
- Timotheou, S., Miliou, O., Dimitriadis, Y., Sobrino, S. V., Giannoutsou, N., Cachia, R., Monés, A. M., & Ioannou, A. (2023). Impacts of digital technologies on education and factors influencing schools' digital capacity and transformation: A literature review. *Education and Information Technologies*, 28(6). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11431-8>
- Toader, T., Safta, M., Titirişcă, C., & Firtescu, B. (2021). Effects of digitalisation on higher education in a sustainable development framework—online learning challenges during the covid-19 pandemic. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13116444>
- Upadhyayaya, P. R. (2023). Information Communication Technology in Education: Bringing Innovation in Classroom. *Ganeshman Darpan*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.3126/gd.v8i1.57335>
- Wahyu Pratama Putra, Munawir Yusuf, & Agus Effendi. (2023). Innovation of Digital-Based Instructional Design and Virtual Reality on Geography Subject for 10th Grade High School. *Journal of Education Technology*, 7(1). <https://doi.org/10.23887/jet.v7i1.51702>
- Wartiningsih, & Surjono, H. D. (2020). *Adaptive E-Learning Model in Learning Personality Characters*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200521.004>
- Windram, J. D., Neal, A., & McMahon, C. J. (2023). Evolution in Congenital Cardiology Education: The Rise of Digital-Learning Tools. *CJC Pediatric and Congenital Heart Disease*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjcpc.2022.12.005>
- Yang, P., & Liu, Z. (2022). The Influence of Immersive Virtual Reality (IVR) on Skill Transfer of Learners: The Moderating Effects of Learning Engagement. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 17(10). <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v17i10.30923>
- Ylipulli, J., Pouke, M., Ehrenberg, N., & Keinonen, T. (2023). Public libraries as a partner in digital innovation project: Designing a virtual reality experience to support digital literacy. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2023.08.001>

Zhang, R., & Zhao, X. (2020). The application of folk art with virtual reality technology in visual communication. *Intelligent Automation and Soft Computing*, 26(4). <https://doi.org/10.32604/iasc.2020.010113>