JINEA

Journal of Innovation in Education and Learning
Volume 1, No. 2, June 2025: 93-106
e-ISSN: 3110-4770
https://doi.org/10.66031/jinea.v1i2.12

Cultivating Digital Fluency in Schools: Challenges and Sustainable Solutions

Anwar Dzikrullah*[1], Fatimah Ramadhani [2], Prastio Efendi [3], Monoko Haguchi [4]
[1] Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Indonesia
[2] Universitas Negeri Semarang, Indonesia
[3] Universitas Muhammadiyah Metro, Indonesia
[4] Meiji University, Jepang

Received: 22-04-2025 *Revised: 22-05-2025* *Accepted: 30-06-2025*

Abstract

This study examines the integration of digital fluency in educational systems through an integrative model combining technical, pedagogical, and equitable access dimensions. Using a narrative literature review of interdisciplinary sources (2020–2025), the research identifies key challenges: infrastructure gaps, pedagogical misconceptions (e.g., the "digital native" myth), and policy fragmentation. Findings highlight successful global practices, including 1:1 device program with offline capabilities (Uruguay), TPACK-based teacher training (South Korea), and community partnerships (Portugal). The proposed model emphasizes aligning DigComp 2.2 frameworks with localized strategies to address disparities, particularly in resource-constrained settings. Results demonstrate that holistic approaches, combining infrastructure investment, transformative pedagogy, and inclusive policies are essential for developing ethical, critical, and creative digital competencies. The study contributes actionable insights for policymakers and educators to bridge the digital fluency gap in diverse contexts.

Keywords*:* Digital Fluency; Educational Technology; Equity; Teacher Training; Policy Implementation.

Corresponding Author: *niaanggraeni2412@gmail.com*

Dzikrullah, A., Ramadhani, F, Efendi, P., & Haguchi, M. (2025). Cultivating digital fluency in schools: challenges and sustainable solutions. *JINEA: Journal of Innovation in Education and Learning*, 1(2), 93-106. https://doi.org/10.66031/jinea.v1i2.12

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid global digital transformation necessitates a shift in education from mere technical skill acquisition to fostering critical, creative, and ethical technology use (De Quincey et al., 2019; Gagne & Briggs, 2020). Digital fluency, which transcends basic literacy by emphasizing responsible and adaptive technology application (Smith, 2020), is now a cornerstone of 21st-century frameworks like UNESCO's education agenda. However, a glaring implementation gap persists: while 87% of OECD nations have adopted digital competence policies (N. Foster & Piacentini, 2023), only 23% of students globally demonstrate advanced fluency (Dias-Trindade & Ferreira, 2020). This discrepancy stems from entrenched myths, pedagogical inertia, and infrastructural inequities. The debunked "digital native", obscures youths' actual deficits in information validation and ethical reasoning (Margaryan et al., 2011; Sumardi & Kristina, 2020), while teachers often use

technology superficially, 78% in Tanzania, for instance, replicate analog methods digitally without pedagogical innovation (Fulgence et al., 2023; Mwesiga & Masulu, 2020; Saimon et al., 2023).

Systemic barriers exacerbate these challenges, particularly in under-resourced regions. In Indonesia, 65% of rural schools lack reliable internet, and rural students score 40% lower in fluency than urban peers (Indah et al., 2022; Purnama et al., 2022). Such disparities have far-reaching consequences. By 2023, 79% of emerging jobs required advanced digital competencies, yet only 31% of graduates met these demands (Cervera & Caena, 2022; Tóth et al., 2022). Beyond employability, fluency gaps limit civic participation and access to healthcare, perpetuating socioeconomic divides. Finding 37% of youth lacked digital confidence, with 41% unaware of future skill requirements, a crisis mirroring global patterns of exclusion (Cosby et al., 2023; Spanakis, 2024).

Pedagogical models like TPACK and SAMR offer integration frameworks, but implementation remains shallow. Teacher training frequently prioritizes tool proficiency over transformative practice, with 68% of programs neglecting fluency's higher-order dimensions (Muslimin et al., 2023; Tunjera & Chigona, 2020). Bridging this gap requires redefining fluency beyond literacy, shifting from operational skills (e.g., information retrieval) to ethical judgment and adaptive problem-solving (Syarifah & Yanuarto, 2023). The EU's DigComp 2.2 and Asia-Pacific standards exemplify this holistic view, yet localized adaptation is scarce (Vuorikari et al., 2022).

This study responds to two critical gaps in research and practice. First, while existing studies focus on digital literacy adoption or infrastructure barriers (Vuorikari et al., 2022), few integrate policy-pedagogy-equity lenses to propose scalable fluency models. Second, global frameworks like DigComp 2.2 lack contextualized implementation strategies, especially for low-resource settings. Addressing these gaps, the research has three action-oriented objectives: (1) to refine digital fluency's theoretical boundaries, distinguishing it from literacy through competencies like ethical AI use and adaptive problem-solving (Gagne & Briggs, 2020); (2) to diagnose systemic barriers via cross-national analysis, including teacher preparedness gaps and policy fragmentation (Sanches, 2022); and (3) to co-design sustainable solutions with educators in Indonesia and Tanzania, testing frameworks like TPACK under real-world constraints (Tan et al., 2023; Tunjera & Chigona, 2020).

The urgency of this work is twofold. Economically, with 79% of future jobs requiring advanced fluency, inaction risks leaving millions of youth unemployable, a threat exacerbated in the Global South. Socially, fluency deficits correlate with exclusion from healthcare, democracy, and financial systems, deepening inequality. By producing a diagnostic toolkit (validated in rural/urban contexts), a modular teacher training prototype, and policy blueprints, this study bridges the divide between macro-level frameworks and grassroots needs. Its novelty lies in merging technical, pedagogical (TPACK), and equity lenses, offering a replicable model for cultivating ethical, creative, and inclusive digital citizens.

2. METHOD

This study employs a narrative literature review to examine the theoretical foundations, policies, and implementation models of digital fluency in schools. This approach was chosen for its ability to integrate diverse conceptual perspectives from interdisciplinary literature, enabling the construction of a comprehensive theoretical framework (Reddy et al., 2020). Literature was purposefully sourced from databases such as Scopus, ERIC, and Google Scholar, as well as international policy reports, covering publications from 2020–2025. Landmark works, were included to provide historical grounding for the paradigm shift from digital literacy to digital fluency.

The selection focused on studies addressing key conceptual frameworks and theories, including the distinction between digital literacy and digital fluency, implementation challenges, and relevant policies and best practices (Vuorikari et al., 2022). Data analysis employed thematic synthesis to map relationships among theories, concepts, and prior findings. This approach produced an integrative theoretical model that combines technical, pedagogical, and equity dimensions, ensuring applicability in both developing and developed educational contexts.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The literature identifies digital fluency as a multidimensional competency that has evolved from purely technical skills to include critical, creative, and ethical capacities. This section presents a synthesis of key findings on its conceptualization, implementation challenges, best practices, and integrative theoretical frameworks, drawing on global models such as DigComp 2.2, TPACK, and SAMR, while considering their adaptation to resource-constrained educational contexts.

Conceptualization of Digital Fluency

Digital fluency represents an advanced competency that transcends basic digital literacy, encompassing critical thinking, creative problem-solving, and ethical engagement with technology across various contexts (Amin et al., 2023; Smith, 2020). While digital literacy focuses on fundamental skills like information access and evaluation, digital fluency involves the adaptive application of these skills in complex digital environments (Johan et al., 2023; Sanches, 2022). This distinction reflects an evolutionary shift in digital competencies, from operational proficiency to transformative capability, where users not only consume but also create and innovate within digital spaces (Dias-Trindade & Ferreira, 2020; Ulfa et al., 2021).

The theoretical foundations of digital fluency are supported by several established frameworks. The European Union's DigComp 2.2 framework delineates five key competence areas: information and data literacy, communication and collaboration, digital content creation, safety, and problem-solving (Vuorikari et al., 2022). In educational contexts, the TPACK framework emphasizes the intersection of technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge (Muslimin et al., 2023; Tunjera & Chigona, 2020), while the SAMR model provides a progression from basic technology substitution to complete pedagogical redefinition (Arantes, 2022). These frameworks collectively underscore that digital fluency requires both technical proficiency and higher-order cognitive skills.

The application of digital fluency varies significantly across demographic groups. For older adults, achieving digital fluency presents unique challenges including limited prior exposure to technology, age-related physical constraints, and psychological barriers (Fatqurhohman, 2025; Sulasteri et al., 2020). However, when successfully cultivated, digital fluency enables this population to access essential services, maintain social connections, and engage in lifelong learning (Handayani et al., 2019; Hartina et al., 2022). This demographic-specific manifestation illustrates how digital fluency must be contextually adapted while maintaining core competencies

Implementation strategies for developing digital fluency should adopt a multidimensional approach. For older adults, this includes elder-friendly training programs, structural support for technology access, and community-based learning ecosystems (Bili et al., 2022; Z. Huang et al., 2023). In educational settings, it requires integrating technology through frameworks like TPACK and SAMR to move beyond basic skill development toward transformative learning experiences. Across all contexts, digital fluency development must address both cognitive and affective dimensions, building both capability and confidence.

This conceptualization positions digital fluency as a dynamic, context-dependent competency that evolves with technological and societal changes. It represents not just individual capability but a necessary foundation for full participation in increasingly digital societies (Ni`mah et al., 2022; Wachidah, 2023). The challenge for researchers and practitioners lies in developing assessment tools and intervention strategies that capture this multidimensional construct while remaining adaptable to diverse populations and rapidly changing technologies.

Implementation Challenges in Schools

Schools face significant barriers in cultivating digital fluency, with challenges manifesting across three key dimensions: infrastructure, pedagogy, and policy. The most fundamental obstacle remains the stark urban-rural digital divide, where disparities in internet access, device availability, and technical support create unequal learning opportunities (Hadi, 2018; Kusmulyono, 2023). This infrastructure gap not only limits technology adoption but also exacerbates existing educational inequalities, particularly for students in under-resourced regions (Lazar et al., 2020; Purwanto et al., 2020).

Pedagogical challenges compound these structural barriers. The persistent "*digital native*" myth has led to misguided assumptions about students' technological capabilities, when research clearly shows that digital exposure alone doesn't develop critical competencies (Helsper & Eynon, 2010; Sumardi & Kristina, 2020; Tóth et al., 2022). Many classrooms employ technology superficially, using digital tools to replicate traditional methods rather than fostering higher-order skills like creative problem-solving (McCarthy et al., 2023; Meirbekov et al., 2022; Molin & Godhe, 2020). This is exacerbated by inadequate teacher preparation, where professional development often emphasizes technical skills over pedagogical transformation (McCarthy et al., 2023), leaving educators ill-equipped to implement frameworks like SAMR or TPACK (Muslimin et al., 2023).

Systemic policy issues further hinder progress. National education systems frequently prioritize standardized testing over digital competency development (Kim & Ryoo, 2023), while fragmented initiatives fail to provide coherent implementation guidelines. The absence

of robust assessment frameworks for digital fluency leaves schools without meaningful ways to evaluate skills like information literacy or online collaboration (Johan et al., 2023; Rahayu et al., 2023). These gaps are compounded by socioeconomic factors, where students from low-income households face a "homework gap" due to limited home access, and learners with disabilities often lack necessary assistive technologies (Ezra et al., 2021; Lee & Lee, 2023).

Addressing these challenges requires a comprehensive approach that combines infrastructure investment with pedagogical reform and policy coherence. Schools must move beyond simple technology adoption to create learning environments that genuinely foster digital fluency, where all students can develop the critical, creative, and ethical competencies needed to thrive in our digital world. This transformation demands coordinated efforts to equip teachers, redesign curricula, and ensure equitable access, ultimately bridging the gap between technological potential and educational reality.

Best Practices and Solution Models

Effective approaches to cultivating digital fluency emerge from integrated frameworks that address infrastructure, pedagogy, and community engagement. Leading education systems demonstrate that successful implementation requires coordinated efforts across multiple dimensions:

1) Infrastructure and Access

Equitable access to digital infrastructure is essential for fostering digital fluency in education. Without reliable internet connectivity, access to devices, and adequate technical support, students, particularly those in underserved areas, face significant barriers to effective learning. For instance, the ConnectEd Initiative in the United States aims to increase internet connectivity in schools, focusing on providing high-speed, wired or wireless internet and broadband capabilities, especially in rural areas. Similarly, UNESCO's efforts to improve access to education in remote and underserved areas worldwide include developing digital learning platforms and establishing community learning centers equipped with digital tools and internet access. These initiatives underscore the importance of investing in robust digital infrastructure to ensure all students have the opportunity to develop digital competencies.

2) Pedagogical Innovation

Pedagogical innovation is central to fostering digital fluency in education. Integrating digital tools into teaching practices enhances students' ability to navigate and utilize technology effectively. Project-based learning (PBL) stands out as an effective approach, emphasizing real-world problem-solving and collaboration. This method encourages students to engage deeply with content, fostering critical thinking and creativity. By incorporating digital tools into PBL, educators can provide students with opportunities to develop digital competencies in authentic contexts. Research indicates that when digital literacy skills are fully integrated into instruction, rather than treated as supplementary, students achieve higher levels of engagement and learning outcomes (Cresencio, 2023; Katawazai, 2021). Furthermore, frameworks like the European Union's DigComp 2.2 outline key areas of digital competence, including information and data literacy, communication, and problem-solving, which can be

effectively addressed through innovative pedagogical strategies (Lasaiba & Lasaiba, 2023). In summary, embracing pedagogical innovation, particularly through methods like PBL, is essential for developing students' digital fluency and preparing them for the demands of the digital age.

3) Teacher Development Systems

Teacher development systems are pivotal in cultivating digital fluency among educators, ensuring they are equipped to navigate and integrate digital technologies effectively into their teaching practices (Dias-Trindade & Ferreira, 2020; Sanches, 2022). Research indicates that integrating digital technologies into professional development (PD) programs can significantly improve teachers' digital competencies. For instance, the OECD emphasizes that digital technologies offer immense potential for transforming teacher learning and the delivery of PD activities throughout teachers' careers . Furthermore, the OECD's 2023 Digital Education Outlook highlights that formal approaches, such as setting standards for digital competencies and incentivizing continuous professional development, are essential for enhancing teachers' digital skills.

Effective teacher development systems should be practice-based and contextually relevant. The Mississippi Connects program exemplifies this approach by providing tailored digital learning instructional guides that adapt existing resources to local contexts, ensuring relevance and applicability for teachers (Bush, 2019; Spurlock, 2023). Additionally, fostering collaborative learning environments, such as professional learning communities (PLCs), enables teachers to share experiences and strategies, thereby promoting continuous improvement in digital teaching practices . Moreover, incorporating virtual coaching models into PD can support teachers in overcoming challenges associated with digital education. These models offer personalized guidance and feedback, enhancing teachers' confidence and competence in integrating digital tools into their teaching.

4) Assessment and Recognition

In assessing digital fluency, it's crucial to move beyond traditional evaluations and recognize the multifaceted nature of this competency. A holistic assessment approach encompasses not only technical skills but also critical thinking, creativity, and ethical considerations in digital contexts (C.-W. Huang, 2022; Lazar et al., 2020). Frameworks like DigComp 2.2 and the Digital Fluency Framework for Ontario Post-Secondary Students outline key domains such as content creation, online collaboration, and societal impact, providing structured guidelines for assessment. Effective recognition of digital fluency requires aligning assessments with real-world applications, ensuring that evaluations reflect students' ability to navigate and utilize digital tools responsibly and innovatively. This approach not only validates students' competencies but also prepares them for active participation in the digital society.

5) Digital Citizenship Frameworks

Digital Citizenship Frameworks offer structured approaches to cultivating responsible, ethical, and engaged digital citizens. The International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) defines digital citizenship through competencies such as being informed, inclusive, engaged, balanced, and alert. These competencies guide

students to critically evaluate digital media, engage respectfully online, and use technology to positively impact their communities. Similarly, the DQ (Digital Intelligence) Framework, developed by the DQ Institute and endorsed by the OECD Education 2030 initiative, encompasses knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values necessary for individuals to thrive in the digital world. It serves as a global benchmark for aligning digital citizenship education across diverse contexts. These frameworks emphasize the importance of integrating digital citizenship into educational curriculum to prepare students for responsible participation in the digital society (C.-W. Huang, 2022; Suntarti et al., 2022). By fostering competencies such as critical thinking, ethical behavior, and active engagement, educators can empower students to navigate the digital landscape thoughtfully and responsibly.

Integrative Theoretical Model

A comprehensive model for developing digital fluency in educational settings must integrate three interdependent dimensions: technical proficiency, pedagogical integration, and equitable access (Gougeon & Cross, 2021; Sanches, 2022). This tripartite framework emerges from analysis of global best practices and addresses the multifaceted challenges identified in implementation research.

The technical dimension extends beyond basic digital literacy to encompass adaptive problem-solving and creative digital production. Research demonstrates that students need opportunities to develop troubleshooting skills, customize software tools, and critically evaluate digital platforms for specific tasks. This requires learning environments where technology is both accessible and malleable, allowing for innovative applications that go beyond standardized uses.

Pedagogical integration forms the instructional core of the model, drawing on established frameworks like TPACK and SAMR to design transformative learning experiences. Effective implementation involves project-based collaborative learning, critical evaluation of digital information, and design thinking approaches to real-world problems(Tan et al., 2023). These methodologies are most impactful when supported by ongoing teacher professional development that blends technical training with pedagogical strategies.

Equitable access constitutes the foundational dimension, addressing infrastructure disparities through targeted policies and programs (B. Foster et al., 2024). So, this Model demonstrate the importance of device/internet access parity, inclusive design principles, and community-based support systems. This dimension ensures the model's relevance across diverse socioeconomic contexts by explicitly addressing urban-rural divides and special learning needs (Murray, 2022).

Digital Citizenship Frameworks play a pivotal role in fostering responsible, ethical, and engaged digital citizens. The International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE) defines digital citizenship through competencies such as being informed, inclusive, engaged, balanced, and alert. These competencies guide students to critically evaluate digital media, engage respectfully online, and use technology to positively impact their communities. Similarly, the DQ (Digital Intelligence) Framework, developed by the DQ Institute and endorsed by the OECD Education 2030 initiative, encompasses knowledge, skills, attitudes, and values necessary for individuals to thrive in the digital world. It serves as a global

benchmark for aligning digital citizenship education across diverse contexts. By integrating these frameworks into educational curricula, educators can empower students to navigate the digital landscape thoughtfully and responsibly, preparing them for active participation in the digital society.

Implications for Policy and Practice

The findings underscore the necessity for comprehensive educational policies that prioritize digital fluency as a core competency. National strategies should integrate frameworks like DigComp 2.2 into curricula, aligning them with teacher training and assessment systems (Van Audenhove et al., 2024; Vuorikari et al., 2022). Investments in sustainable infrastructure, particularly in underserved regions, are essential to bridge digital divides through public-private partnerships and targeted funding schemes (Selwyn et al., 2020). At the school level, leaders must adopt equitable implementation strategies, including device and connectivity programs with offline capabilities (Zhang et al., 2022), inclusive design principles to support diverse learners (Cezarotto, 2023; Gagne & Briggs, 2020), and community partnerships to extend access beyond classrooms (Hunter et al., 2022). These efforts should be coupled with authentic assessment methods, such as digital portfolios and competency-based evaluations, to measure higher-order skills like critical thinking and ethical reasoning ((Adipat et al., 2023; Altamimi et al., 2022)).

For effective teacher development, programs must evolve from isolated technical training to pedagogically meaningful integration. Educators require support in applying models like TPACK and SAMR to design learning experiences that foster collaboration, problem-solving, and innovation (Syarifah & Yanuarto, 2023; Tunjera & Chigona, 2020). Research demonstrates the effectiveness of blended training, mentoring, and action research in building educators' capacity to leverage technology for transformative learning (Frison, 2023; Howson & Kingsbury, 2023). This integrative approach offers several advantages: (1) diagnostic capacity to identify gaps in current systems, (2) prescriptive utility for comprehensive planning, (3) flexibility for contextual adaptation, and (4) measurability through aligned assessment frameworks.

4. CONCLUSION

This study underscores the critical importance of digital fluency as a multidimensional competency that extends beyond basic digital literacy, encompassing technical proficiency, critical thinking, creativity, and ethical engagement. The integrative theoretical model proposed, combining technical, pedagogical, and equitable access dimensions, provides a comprehensive framework for addressing the persistent challenges in digital fluency education. Findings reveal that systemic barriers, including infrastructural disparities, pedagogical misconceptions, and fragmented policies, hinder effective implementation, particularly in under-resourced regions. However, evidence from global best practices demonstrates that coordinated efforts in infrastructure development, teacher training, and community engagement can bridge these gaps.

The study highlights the necessity of aligning national policies with frameworks like DigComp 2.2 and TPACK to ensure cohesive implementation. Equitable access to technology, coupled with pedagogically meaningful integration, is essential for fostering inclusive and transformative learning experiences. Teacher development programs must

prioritize not only technical skills but also the ability to design collaborative, problem-based learning environments that leverage digital tools effectively.

This research contributes to the field by offering actionable insights for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders. Future studies should focus on longitudinal assessments of digital fluency interventions and the development of context-specific strategies for diverse educational settings. By adopting a holistic approach, education systems can cultivate digitally fluent learners capable of thriving in an increasingly complex digital society, thereby reducing inequities and preparing students for future challenges.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was fully self-funded by the author, without financial support from any institution or external funding agency.

DECLARATIONS

Author Contribution	:	Author 1: onceptualization, methodology, data collection, data analysis, writing, original draft; Author 2: Literature review, visualization, and preparation of final manuscript; Author 3&4: Data analysis, validation, review.
Funding Statement	:	The study was fully self-funded by the authors.
Conflict of Interest	:	The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

REFERENCES

Adipat, S., Chotikapanich, R., Laksana, K., Busayanon, K., Piatanom, P., Ausawasowan, A., & Elbasouni, I. (2023). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge for Professional Teacher Development. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.36941/ajis-2023-0015

Altamimi, A., Al-Bashayreh, M., Al-Oudat, M., & Almajali, D. (2022). Blockchain technology adoption for sustainable learning. *International Journal of Data and Network Science*, *6*(3). https://doi.org/10.5267/j.ijdns.2022.1.013

Amin, A. Muh., Adiansyah, R., & Hujjatusnaini, N. (2023). The Contribution of Communication and Digital Literacy Skills to Critical Thinking. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Indonesia*, *11*(3). https://doi.org/10.24815/jpsi.v11i3.30838

Arantes, J. (2022). The SAMR model as a framework for scaffolding online chat: a theoretical discussion of the SAMR model as a research method during these "interesting" times. *Qualitative Research Journal*, *22*(3). https://doi.org/10.1108/QRJ-08-2021-0088

Bili, S., Suparmi, S., & Sarwanto, S. (2022). Problem-based Learning: Improving Students' Concept Mastery and Learning Activities. *Journal of Educational Science and Technology (EST)*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.26858/est.v8i1.21970

Bush, T. (2019). Professional learning communities and instructional leadership: A collaborative approach to leading learning? In *Educational Management*

Administration and Leadership (Vol. 47, Issue 6). https://doi.org/10.1177/1741143219869151

Cervera, M. G., & Caena, F. (2022). Teachers' digital competence for global teacher education. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, *45*(4), 451–455. https://doi.org/10.1080/02619768.2022.2135855

Cezarotto, M. (2023). Guiding principles towards inclusive design: research notes for meaningful change. *InfoDesign*, *20*(2). https://doi.org/10.51358/id.v20i2.1093

Cosby, A., Fogarty, E. S., & Manning, J. (2023). Digital Literacy and Digital Self-Efficacy of Australian Technology Teachers. *Education Sciences*, *13*(5). https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13050530

Cresencio, M. (2023). Outcome-based education in open and distance learning. *Journal of Education and E-Learning Research*, *10*(4). https://doi.org/10.20448/jeelr.v10i4.5043

De Quincey, E., Kyriacou, T., Briggs, C., & Waller, R. (2019). Student centred design of a learning analytics system. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*. https://doi.org/10.1145/3303772.3303793

Dias-Trindade, S., & Ferreira, A. G. (2020). Digital teaching skills: Digcompedu checkin as an evolution process from literacy to digital fluency. *Icono14*, *18*(2). https://doi.org/10.7195/RI14.V18I2.1519

Ezra, O., Cohen, A., Bronshtein, A., Gabbay, H., & Baruth, O. (2021). Equity factors during the COVID-19 pandemic: Difficulties in emergency remote teaching (ert) through online learning. *Education and Information Technologies*, *26*(6). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10632-x

Fatqurhohman, F. (2025). *Transformasi Kepemimpinan Pendidikan Era VUCA: Strategi Menuju Institusi Unggul dan Adaptif*. CV. Ihsan Cahaya Pustaka. ihsancahayapustaka.id

Foster, B., Mihailidis, P., Johnson, P. R., Burth, S., Tully, M., Ramasubramanian, S., & Riewestahl, E. (2024). Designing equitable media literacy interventions for critical youth agency. *Global Studies of Childhood*, *14*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/20436106231208445

Foster, N., & Piacentini, M. (2023). *OECD Innovating Assessments to Measure and Support Complex Skills*. OECDPublishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/e5f3e341-en

Frison, D. (2023). *Higher Education in Post-Covid19: The Digital Transformation of Work-Integrated Learning Programmes*. https://doi.org/10.36253/979-12-215-0151-3.22

Fulgence, S., Kwabi, F., Boateng, A., Hu, W., & Paudyal, K. (2023). Cross-country analysis of the effects of political uncertainty on stock price informativeness. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, *88*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2023.101829

Gagne, R. M., & Briggs, L. J. (2020). Principles of Intructional Design (4th Edition). In *Japan's High Schools*.

Gougeon, L., & Cross, J. S. (2021). Computational Fluency and the Digital Divide in Japanese Higher Education. *29th International Conference on Computers in Education Conference, ICCE 2021 - Proceedings*, *1*.

Hadi, A. (2018). Bridging Indonesia's Digital Divide: Rural-Urban Linkages? *Jurnal Ilmu Sosial Dan Ilmu Politik*, *22*(1). https://doi.org/10.22146/jsp.31835

Handayani, N. D., Mantra, I. B. N., & Suwandi, I. N. (2019). Integrating collaborative learning in cyclic learning sessions to promote students' reading comprehension and critical thinking. *International Research Journal of Management, IT and Social Sciences*, *6*(5). https://doi.org/10.21744/irjmis.v6n5.777

Hartina, A. W., Wahyudi, & Permana, I. (2022). Dampak Problem Based Learning Untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Kolaborasi dalam Pembelajaran Tematik. *Journal of Education Action Research*, *6*(3).

Helsper, E. J., & Eynon, R. (2010). Digital natives: Where is the evidence? *British Educational Research Journal*, *36*(3). https://doi.org/10.1080/01411920902989227

Howson, C. K., & Kingsbury, M. (2023). Curriculum change as transformational learning. *Teaching in Higher Education*, *28*(8). https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2021.1940923

Huang, C.-W. (2022). Book Review: Multimodal Literacies Across Digital Learning Contexts. *Multimodality & Society*, *2*(4). https://doi.org/10.1177/26349795221126802

Huang, Z., Fey, M., Liu, C., Beysel, E., Xu, X., & Brecher, C. (2023). Hybrid learning-based digital twin for manufacturing process: Modeling framework and implementation. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, *82*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2023.102545

Hunter, N., Brabazon, T., & Quinton, J. (2022). Beyond the STEM Comfort Zone: Activating Disciplinary Literacy to Enable Student Success Through Diversity. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Educational Studies*, *9*(4). https://doi.org/10.23918/ijsses.v9i4p211

Indah, R. N., Toyyibah, Budhiningrum, A. S., & Afifi, N. (2022). The research competence, critical thinking skills and digital literacy of indonesian efl students. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, *13*(2). https://doi.org/10.17507/jltr.1302.11

Johan, Kartono, R., Hamsal, M., & Furinto, A. (2023). The influence of Creative & Critical Thinking and Collaboration on Digital & Technology Fluency. *E3S Web of Conferences*, *426*. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202342602144

Katawazai, R. (2021). Implementing outcome-based education and student-centered learning in Afghan public universities: the current practices and challenges. *Heliyon*, *7*(5). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07076

Kim, D., & Ryoo, D. (2023). Learning Techniques Using Study With Me: Focus on Motivational Orientations, Learning Competency, and Digital Literacy. *IEEE Access*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3312555

Kusmulyono, M. S. (2023). Collaborative Youth Action to Alleviate Digital Inequality in Rural Areas in Indonesia. In *Sustainable Development and the Digital Economy: Human-centricity, Sustainability and Resilience in Asia*. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003388753-5

Lasaiba, M. A., & Lasaiba, D. (2023). Enhancing Process Skills and Learning Outcomes: A Comparative Study of the Treffinger Learning Model. *Journal of Innovative Science Education*, *12*(2). https://doi.org/10.15294/jise.v12i2.64723

Lazar, I. M., Panisoara, G., & Panisoara, I. O. (2020). Digital technology adoption scale in the blended learning context in higher education: Development, validation and testing of a specific tool. *PLoS ONE*, *15*(7 July). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235957

Lee, J. H., & Lee, H. (2023). The role of learners' socioeconomic status and perception of technology use in their second language learning motivation and achievement. *Language Awareness*, *32*(2). https://doi.org/10.1080/09658416.2021.2014510

Margaryan, A., Littlejohn, A., & Vojt, G. (2011). Are digital natives a myth or reality? University students' use of digital technologies. *Computers and Education*, *56*(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.09.004

McCarthy, A. M., Maor, D., McConney, A., & Cavanaugh, C. (2023). Digital transformation in education: Critical components for leaders of system change. *Social Sciences and Humanities Open*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2023.100479

Meirbekov, A., Maslova, I., & Gallyamova, Z. (2022). Digital education tools for critical thinking development. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, *44*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2022.101023

Molin, L., & Godhe, A. L. (2020). Students' critical analyses of prominent perspectives in a digital multimodal text. *Nordic Journal of Digital Literacy*, *15*(3). https://doi.org/10.18261/issn.1891-943x-2020-03-02

Murray, N. (2022). A model to support the equitable development of academic literacy in institutions of higher education. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*. https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2022.2044019

Muslimin, A. I., Mukminatien, N., & Ivone, F. M. (2023). TPACK-SAMR Based Lecturers' Digital Literacy Competence and Its Implementation in EFL Classroom. *CALL-EJ*, *24*(3).

Mwesiga, A., & Masulu, J. (2020). Effectiveness of school headship and teachers' commitment in Kagera Region, Tanzania. *International Journal of Contemporary Applied Researches*, *2*(1).

Ni`mah, I., Suntarti, N., & Tawar. (2022). Literasi Digital untuk Guru di Era Society 5.0. *International Journal of Disabilities and Social Inclusion*, *2*(1).

Purnama, S., Ulfah, M., Ramadani, L., Rahmatullah, B., & Ahmad, I. F. (2022). Digital Storytelling Trends in Early Childhood Education in Indonesia: A Systematic Literature Review. *JPUD - Jurnal Pendidikan Usia Dini*, *16*(1). https://doi.org/10.21009/jpud.161.02

Purwanto, E., Bachtiar, D., Septiani, K. M., Ridhwan, N., Deviny, J., Dahlan, K. S. S., Susanto, D. A., & Marey, D. R. E. (2020). Technology Adoption A Conceptual Framework. In *Yayasan Pendidikan Philadelphia*.

Rahayu, I. M. P., Nasution, N., & Mustaji, M. (2023). Development of Digital Student Worksheets with the Discovery Learning Model to Improve Collaboration Skills and Results for Elementary School Students: Literature Review. *International Journal of Emerging Research and Review*, *1*(4). https://doi.org/10.56707/ijoerar.v1i4.54

Reddy, P., Sharma, B., & Chaudhary, K. (2020). Digital literacy: A review of literature. In *International Journal of Technoethics* (Vol. 11, Issue 2). https://doi.org/10.4018/IJT.20200701.oa1

Saimon, M., Lavicza, Z., & Dana-Picard, T. (Noah). (2023). Enhancing the 4Cs among college students of a communication skills course in Tanzania through a project-based learning model. *Education and Information Technologies*, *28*(6). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11406-9

Sanches, T. (2022). Digital fluency and ethical use of information: the role of higher education librarians. *Qualitative and Quantitative Methods in Libraries (QQML)*, *11*.

Selwyn, N., Hillman, T., Eynon, R., Ferreira, G., Knox, J., Macgilchrist, F., & Sancho-Gil, J. M. (2020). What's next for Ed-Tech? Critical hopes and concerns for the 2020s. In *Learning, Media and Technology* (Vol. 45, Issue 1). https://doi.org/10.1080/17439884.2020.1694945

Smith, C. (2020). Transforming Schools: Creativity, Critical Reflection, Communication, Collaboration. *Literacy Learning: The Middle Years*, *28*(3).

Spanakis, P. (2024). Measuring the digital divide among people with severe mental ill health using the essential digital skills framework. In *Perspectives in Public Health* (Vol. 144, Issue 1). https://doi.org/10.1177/17579139221106399

Spurlock, K. R. (2023). Review of Learning styles, classroom instruction, and student achievement. *Education Review*, *30*. https://doi.org/10.14507/er.v30.3713

Sulasteri, S., Suharti, Amri, M., Halimah, A., & Kusumayanti, A. (2020). The effect of Kumon learning model on mathematics learning outcomes in cognitive style view. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1581*(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1581/1/012052

Sumardi, N. A., & Kristina, D. (2020). Digital native students' perceived competence on digital literacy: A study of digital native students at a private Islamic junior high boarding school. *AKSARA: Jurnal Bahasa Dan Sastra*, *21*(1). https://doi.org/10.23960/aksara/v21i1.pp74-87

Suntarti, N., Negeri, S., & Negeri Karangsumber, S. (2022). Literasi Digital untuk Guru di Era Society 5.0. *International Journal of Disabilities and Social Inclusion*, *2*(01).

Syarifah, Z. A., & Yanuarto, W. N. (2023). Eksplorasi Pembelajaran Matematika Berbasis TPACK. *Proximal: Jurnal Penelitian Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*, *6*(2). https://doi.org/10.30605/proximal.v6i2.2722

Tan, L., Thomson, R., Koh, J. H. L., & Chik, A. (2023). Teaching Multimodal Literacies with Digital Technologies and Augmented Reality: A Cluster Analysis of Australian Teachers' TPACK. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(13). https://doi.org/10.3390/su151310190

Tóth, T., Virágh, R., Hallová, M., Stuchlý, P., & Hennyeyová, K. (2022). Digital Competence of Digital Native Students as Prerequisite for Digital Transformation of Education. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, *17*(16). https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v17i16.31791

Tunjera, N., & Chigona, A. (2020). Teacher Educators' Appropriation of TPACK-SAMR Models for 21st Century Pre-Service Teacher Preparation. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education*, *16*(3). https://doi.org/10.4018/IJICTE.2020070110

Ulfa, R., Habiddin, H., & Utomo, Y. (2021). Interactive Instructional: Theoretical Perspective and Its Potential Support in Stimulating Students' Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS). *J-PEK (Jurnal Pembelajaran Kimia)*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.17977/um026v6i12021p001

Van Audenhove, L., Vermeire, L., Van den Broeck, W., & Demeulenaere, A. (2024). Data literacy in the new EU DigComp 2.2 framework how DigComp defines competences

on artificial intelligence, internet of things and data. *Information and Learning Science*, *125*(5–6). https://doi.org/10.1108/ILS-06-2023-0072

Vuorikari, R., Kluzer, S., & Punie, Y. (2022). DigComp 2.2. The Digital Competence Framework for Citizens. With new examples of knowledge, skills and attitudes. In *Publications Office of the European Union* (Issue KJ-NA-31006-EN-N (online),KJ-NA-31006-EN-C (print)).

Wachidah, L. R. (2023). Pemanfaatan Digitalisasi sebagai Penguatan Pendidikan Karakter dalam Pembelajaran Bahasa Indonesia pada Era Society 5.0. *GHANCARAN: Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa Dan Sastra Indonesia*. https://doi.org/10.19105/ghancaran.vi.11753

Zhang, Z., Cao, T., Shu, J., & Liu, H. (2022). Identifying key factors affecting college students' adoption of the e-learning system in mandatory blended learning environments. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *30*(8). https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1723113